

CoC Threshold Requirement	Definition
Coordinated Entry Participation	If CoC has implemented coordinated entry, the project participates or intends to participate in coordinated entry in compliance with the CoC's Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures and HUD's Coordinated Entry Notice.
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	The project is, or intends to be, designed and implemented using Housing First principles including: no preconditions or barriers to entry except as required by funding sources, provision of necessary supports to maintain housing and prevent a return to homelessness.
Documented, secured minimum match	Applicant has match commitments that satisfy CoC Program Rule requirements for source and amount.
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit as defined locally	Cost per permanent housing exit can be determined by dividing total project costs by the number of permanent housing exits. These costs can be averaged across all projects within a project type to determine the average cost per permanent housing exit for the community for that project type. The CoC can use this information to define a reasonable cost locally.
Project is financially feasible	Project has funding commitments equal to or exceeding project budget.
Applicant is active CoC Participant	Applicant participation in CoC Board and committee meetings meets CoC requirements for a member in good standing.
Application is complete and data are consistent	All required information is completed and all required attachments are provided. Data provided in response to different questions match.

Data quality at or above 90%	Data elements required by HUD and the CoC have a 90% or higher completion rate.
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	Beds or units in the project are occupied 90% or more of the operating year.
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Applicant's audit or financial review does not contain findings or other indications of financial or accounting problems.
Documented organizational financial stability	Applicant's financial statements for previous fiscal year demonstrates financial stability sufficient to support operation of the project during the next operating year.

SOURCE OF DATA FOR RATING CRITERIA

CoCs can evaluate project compliance with CoC and HUD requirements and project performance through review of data, project documents and project operations.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES		
Project Type	Rating Factor	Data Source
<i>Length of Stay</i>		
RRH	On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	CAPER
<i>Exit to Permanent Housing</i>		
RRH	Minimum percent move to permanent housing	APR

PSH		Calculation: 1) Subtract leavers to all destinations from number of participants to determine number of stayers; 2) Add leavers to permanent housing destinations; 3) Add stayers and leavers to permanent housing destinations and divide by number of participants
Returns to Homelessness		
RRH, PSH	Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	Local data if available
New or Increased Income or Earned Income		
RRH, PSH	Minimum new or increased earned income for project stayers	APR
RRH, PSH	Minimum new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	APR
RRH, PSH	Minimum new or increased earned income for project leavers	APR
RRH, PSH	Minimum new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	APR
SERVE PRIORITY POPULATIONS		
Project Type	Rating Factor	Data Source
Coordinated Assessment Score		
RRH	Assessment score for XX% of participants indicate RRH or more intensive intervention	Local data if available
PSH	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with XX% at highest end of PSH	Local data if available
Project Focuses on Chronically Homeless People		
RRH	XX% of participants are chronically homeless	APR
PSH	XX% of participants are chronically homeless	APR
APR data on ≥ 50% disability /zero income/unsheltered		
RRH	Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	APR
RRH	Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability type	APR
RRH	Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	APR
PSH	Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	APR

PSH	Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability type	APR
PSH	Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	APR
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Project Type	Rating Factor	Data Source
RRH, PSH	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit	Divide total project costs (collected from each project using a standardized tool that accounts for housing, services and administrative costs) by number of permanent housing exits (APR)
RRH, PSH	Coordinated Entry Participation - Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	Local data if available
RRH, PSH	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Project Type	Rating Factor	Data Source
<i>Project is Operating in Conformance with CoC Standards</i>		
RRH, PSH	CoC monitoring score	CoC rating process results
RRH, PSH	Applicant narrative that CoC scores	CoC rating process

FUNDING ANALYSIS TAB LOGIC

DETERMINING PROJECT PRIORITY

Ratio of Beds within a Project

Ratio of Beds within a Project	How Priority is Determined
If a Project doesn't have any beds dedicated to a subpopulation...	

Does the project target > 50% of its beds to Families OR Individuals?	The project is ranked based on the Priority and Funding Ceilings of the Majority Population
Does the project evenly target two populations (50%/50%)?	Ranking is based on the Priority and Funding Ceilings of the Highest Ranked Population (Fam, Ind)
If a Project has any beds dedicated to a subpopulation...	
1. Does the project have DV beds?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project is ranked based on the relevant DV priority (e.g., DV Fam, DV Ind)
2. Does the project have at least 50% of its total beds dedicated to CH Fam, CH Ind, Vet Fam, Vet Ind OR Parenting Youth?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project is ranked based on that subpopulation's priority. If multiple subpopulations meet the 50+% threshold, ranking is based on the highest ranked of the subpopulations.
3. Even though no one subpopulation meets the 50% threshold, is the sum of dedicated beds 50% or greater?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Both subpopulation and population priorities are ignored for ranking purposes. The project is ranked with other projects that meet unspecified priorities
4. Is the sum of dedicated beds less than 50%?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subpopulation priorities are ignored for ranking purposes. The project is ranked solely based on the overarching population priorities



ALLOCATING BEDS



The programming for the FUNDING ANALYSIS tab uses the following logic to allocate beds and \$ to the funding targets indicated on the FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES tab.

Ratio of Beds within a Project	How Subpopulation Bed/\$ Counts are Allocated	How All Families and "All Individuals" Bed/\$	How funding caps are allocated	Notes
If a Project does not have any beds dedicated to a subpopulation....				
	N/A	All beds are counted for their assigned population group	All \$ are counted for their assigned population group, pro-rated by beds	
If a Project has any beds dedicated to a subpopulation...				

1. Does the project have DV beds?	Beds are allocated to the DV need (e.g., DV Fam, DV Ind)	Beds are not allocated to All Fam need or All Ind need (since they would not be able to meet the needs of non-DV Fam or non-DV Ind)	\$ are allocated to the DV need (e.g., DV Fam, DV Ind), prorated by beds	If DV is selected, Tool assigns all of the Population Beds (e.g., Fam or Ind) to DV
2. Does the project have at least 50% of its beds dedicated to CH Fam, CH Ind, Vet Fam, Vet Ind OR Parenting Youth?	Subpopulation beds are counted within their specific subpopulation category.	After subtracting the sum of any dedicated beds, the remaining Fam or Ind beds are counted within their specific Population category	All subpopulation \$ is prorated based on the beds, and then counted within their specific subpopulation category.	The tool does not attempt to reconcile overlapping criteria, therefore a project that is 100% dedicated to CH and Vets will be counted as meeting both the Vet and CH criteria. Your CoC may need to manually adjust ranking if the projects with combined
3. Even if no single subpopulation meets the 50% threshold, is the sum of dedicated beds 50% or greater than the total project beds?	E.g., if a 100 bed project for Individuals has 25 beds for CH and 25 beds for Vets, 25 beds would count toward the CH cap and 25 beds could count toward the Vet cap.	E.g., if a 100 bed project for Individuals has 25 beds for CH and 25 beds for Vets, 50 beds would count toward All Ind cap.	E.g., if a 100 bed project for Individuals has 25 beds for CH and 25 beds for Vets, 25% of the total would be counted toward CH, 25% for Vets, and 50% for All Ind.	eligibility criteria do not adequately meet identified system needs.
4. Is the sum of dedicated beds less than 50%?				